1 2 3	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Marcy C. Priedeman (CA Bar No. 258505) marcy.priedeman@lw.com 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111-6538		
4	Telephone: +1.415.391.0600 Facsimile: +1.415.395.8095		
5	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		
6	Richard P. Bress (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) rick.bress@lw.com Michael E. Bern (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
7	michael.bern@lw.com 555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000		
8	Washington, DC 20004-1304 Telephone: +1.202.637.2200		
9	Facsimile: +1.202.637.2201		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff The American Beverage Association		
11 12	Additional Counsel on Signature Page		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15			
15			
16	THE AMERICAN BEVERAGE	CASE NO. 3:15-cv-03415-EMC	
16 17	ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA STATE	CASE NO. 3:15-cv-03415-EMC STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
16	ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA RETAILERS	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
16 17 18	ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA STATE	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
16 17 18 19	ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA STATE OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ASSOCIATION, Plaintiffs, v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20	ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA STATE OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ASSOCIATION, Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20 21	ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA STATE OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ASSOCIATION, Plaintiffs, v. THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA STATE OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ASSOCIATION, Plaintiffs, v. THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA STATE OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ASSOCIATION, Plaintiffs, v. THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA STATE OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ASSOCIATION, Plaintiffs, v. THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA STATE OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ASSOCIATION, Plaintiffs, v. THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE	

1	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, Plaintiffs The American Beverage		
2	Association, California Retailers Association, and California State Outdoor Advertising		
3	Association ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendant The City and County of San Francisco, hereby		
4	stipulate as follows:		
5	WHEREAS, the Court scheduled a Case Management Conference for September 7, 2017		
6	(Dkt. No. 94);		
7	WHEREAS, on July 31, 2017, this Case Management Conference was reset to September		
8	28, 2017 (Dkt. No. 95);		
9	WHEREAS, on September 18, 2017, this Case Management Conference was reset to		
10	March 29, 2018 (Dkt No. 98);		
11	WHEREAS, on March 16, 2018, this Case Management Conference was reset to July 12,		
12	2018 (Dkt. 106);		
13	WHEREAS, a Case Management Statement is due to the Court by July 5, 2018 (Dkt. No.		
14	98);		
15	WHEREAS, on June 16, 2016, Plaintiffs American Beverage Association and California		
16	Retailers Association filed a Notice of Appeal of the Court's May 17, 2016 Order Denying		
17	Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, and Plaintiff California State Outdoor Advertising		
18	Association filed a separate Notice of Appeal of the same order on that date;		
19	WHEREAS, on April 17, 2017, the parties presented oral argument to the U.S. Court of		
20	Appeals for the Ninth Circuit;		
21	WHEREAS, on September 19, 2017, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit		
22	issued a decision in the appeal in this case (Ninth Circuit Dkt. No. 74);		
23	WHEREAS, on October 17, 2017, Defendant filed a Petition for Panel Rehearing or		
24	Rehearing En Banc in the appeal in this case (Ninth Circuit Dkt. No. 77);		
25	WHEREAS, on January 29, 2018, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit		
26	granted Defendant's Petition for Rehearing En Banc in the appeal in this case (Ninth Circuit Dkt.		
27	No. 100);		
28	WHEREAS, on March 22, 2018, the Ninth Circuit stayed the proceedings in the appeal in		

1	this case pending the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in National Institute of Family & Life		
2	Advocates v. Becerra, S. Ct. Dkt. No. 16-1140, or upon further order of the Court (Ninth Circuit		
3	Dkt. 134); and		
4	WHEREAS, the parties are awaiting further orders by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the		
5	Ninth Circuit concerning this case,		
6	NOW, THEREFORE, in the interest of judicial economy and good cause showing, the		
7	undersigned parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby agree and stipulate, and the		
8	Court hereby orders, as follows:		
9	(1) The Case Management Conference, currently scheduled for July 12, 2018 at 10:30		
10	a.m., shall be continued to at least two weeks following a decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals		
11	for the Ninth Circuit, at a date and time convenient for the Court; and		
12	(2) The parties shall submit a Joint Case Management Statement by one week prior to the		
13	Case Management Conference.		
14	The parties respectfully request that the Court enter an Order approving this Stipulation.		
15	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
16			
17	Dated: June 25, 2018 Respectfully submitted,		
18	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		
19	Dev /a/Manage C. Deia laman		
20	By: /s/ Marcy C. Priedeman Marcy C. Priedeman (CA Bar No. 258505)		
21	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 505 Montgomery Street		
22	Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111-6538		
23	T +1.415.391.0600 F +1.415.395.8095		
24	marcy.priedeman@lw.com		
25	Richard P. Bress (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Michael E. Bern (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)		
26	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 555 Eleventh Street, NW		
27	Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20004-1304		
28	T +1.202.637.2200		

1		+1.202.637.2201
2		ck.bress@lw.com ichael.bern@lw.com
3		torneys for Plaintiffs
4	C	ne American Beverage Association, alifornia State Outdoor Advertising
5		ssociation, and California Retailers
6	D / 1 I 25 2010	/1 0 11
7		/ Jeremy Goldman remy Goldman (Bar No. 218888)
8	Cl	nristine Van Aken (Bar No. 241755) Deputy City Attorneys
9		ty Hall, Room 234 ne Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
10		n Francisco, CA 94102-4682 +1.415.554.4700
11		+1.415.554.4745 remy.Goldman@sfcityatty.gov
12		nristine.Van.Aken@sfcityatty.gov
13		torneys for Defendant ty and County of San Francisco
14		
15	ATTESTATION CLAUSE	
16	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby certify that I obtained in the filing of this	
17	document the concurrence from all parties whose electronic signatures appear above.	
18		
19	Dated: June 25, 2018 LATI	HAM & WATKINS LLP
20		
21	By: <u>/s/</u> M	Marcy C. Priedeman arcy C. Priedeman
22		
23		
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDE	RED. Further CMC reset from 7/12/2018
25	A STES DISTRICT CA	to 9/20/2018 at 10:30 a.m. An updated joint CMC statement shall
26	DATED: 6/27/2018 IT IS SO ORDERED OUT OF THE PARTY OF THE	be filed by 9/13/2018.
27	z Z Z Z M Chen Z Edw	vard M. Chen ates District Judge
28	THE DISTRICT OF COM	